

SN:09/758,858

912  
5/3/02  
43. (Amended) The further PH6WR-derived maize plants, or parts thereof, produced by the method of claim 42.

913  
48. (Amended) The single gene conversion maize plant of claim 47, wherein the gene is a dominant allele.

49. (Amended) The single gene conversion maize plant of claim 47, wherein the gene is a recessive allele.

#### REMARKS

In the Office Action dated March 12, 2002 the Examiner states that "Claims 1, 6, 21, 25, 37, and 40 are objected to for their inclusion of blanks '\_\_\_\_'. It is assumed that the blanks will be replaced by the ATCC deposit accession number." Claims 1, 6, 21, 25, 37, and 40 have been so amended by deleting the blank spaces and inserting the ATCC deposit number. The specification has also been amended to include the terms of the deposit for PH6WR. A copy of the ATCC deposit receipt is included in this response. These actions obviate the objection and place claims 1, 6, 21, 25, 37, and 40 in condition for allowance.

The Examiner states that, "Claims 3 and 22 are indefinite in their recitation of 'wherein the plant is male sterile' ..... Replacement of the phrase with --further comprising a genetic factor conferring male sterility-- would obviate this rejection." Claims 3 and 22 have been so amended and thus claims 3 and 22 are now in condition for allowance.

The Examiner states that, "Claims 5 and 24 are indefinite in their recitation of the 'the...protoplasts' which lacks antecedent basis in the claims from which they depend. Deletion of 'the' before 'cells' in line 1, and insertion of --of the tissue culture-- after 'protoplasts' in line 1, would obviate this rejection." Claims 5 and 24 have been amended as suggested and are now in condition for allowance.

The Examiner states that, "Claims 14, 33, 41, 45 and 46 are indefinite in their recitation of 'high', 'above average', 'very good', 'below average' and 'adapted' which are unduly narrative and so fail to clearly characterize the degree of expression of the claimed trait or the claimed maize plant exhibiting the trait." Claims 14 and 41 have

SN:09/758,858

been amended and no longer include such terms as "high", "above average", "very good", "below average", and "adapted". Claims 33, 45, and 46 have been cancelled.

The Examiner states that, "Claims 16 and 35 are indefinite in their recitation of '[t]he maize plant breeding program' since the claims from which they depend are drawn to methods rather than breeding programs. Replacement of the phrase with '[t]he method' would obviate this rejection." Claims 16 and 35 has been so amended and therefore are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner states that, "Claims 19-20 and 48-49 are indefinite in their recitation of '[t]he single gene conversion(s) of claim' since the preceding claims are drawn to maize plants rather than single gene conversions. Replacement of 'conversion(s)' with --conversion--, and insertion of --maize plant -- after 'conversion', would obviate this rejection." Claims 19-20 and 48-49 have been amended as suggested by the Examiner and therefore the claims are in condition for allowance.

The Examiner states that, "Claims 14, 33, 43, and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morgan (U.S. 5,824,848)." The Examiner goes on to state, "The claims are drawn to maize plants exhibiting two traits and which are derived from the exemplified maize inbred following an unspecified number of crosses for an unspecified number of generations with other plants of unspecified genetic complements, wherein at least one parent was the exemplified maize plant." Claims 33, 45, and 46 have been cancelled. Claims 14, 42, and 43 have been amended, and now each claim clearly has a limit on the number of crosses away from PH6WR. Claim 14 has been amended and now reads, "An inbred maize plant, or parts thereof, wherein said inbred maize plant was developed by a cross of the maize plant of claim 2 with a second maize plant, growing a progeny seed obtained from said cross, and repeating the steps of selfing and growing each subsequent generation to obtain said inbred maize plant." Claim 14 is limited to an inbred maize plant one cross away from PH6WR. Support for this amendment can be found in the specification, for example, on page 3, line 31 through page 4, line 5. Claim 42 has been amended to read, "The method of claim 40, further comprising: (c) crossing said PH6WR-derived maize plant with itself to yield additional PH6WR-derived progeny maize seed; (d) growing said progeny maize seed of step (c) under plant growth conditions, to yield additional PH6WR-derived maize plants; (e) repeating the crossing and growing steps of (c) and (d) to generate further PH6WR-derived maize plants." Claim 43 now through dependency is limited to one cross away from PH6WR. For

SN:09/758,858

clarification, claim 43 has been amended to read, "The further PH6WR-derived maize plants, or parts thereof, produced by the method of claim 42."

The Examiner goes on to state that "...*in re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), which teaches that a product-by-process claim may be properly rejectable over prior art teaching the same product by a different process, if the process of making the product fails to distinguish the two products." The Applicant points out that while the processes of breeding, cross-pollinating, growing, and self-pollinating are not unique processes, the use of the unique invention PH6WR in the processes makes the processes and the products resulting from those processes unique. The requirement of claims 14 and 43 is that PH6WR is used, thus making the processes and their resulting products unique. In light of the amendments and remarks the Applicant requests that the Examiner reconsider his rejection and allow claims 14 and 43.

Examiner rejects claims 1-49 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan (U.S. 5,824,848).

The Examiner has noted some similarities in the morphologies inbred maize line PH6WR and the Morgan inbred maize line F361: yellow endosperm, pink silk, red anther, green glume, green-yellow silk, red cob and curved row direction. However, in addition to these similarities, there are also notable differences, as is documented below.

The following table notes some of the differences between inbred maize line PH6WR and the maize line F361. This information can be found in Table 1 on pages 18-20 and Tables 2A-2B on pages 38-39 of the specification and in Tables 1, 2, and 3 of the Morgan patent, 5,824,848.

PH6WR	F361
1,440 heat units from emergence to 50% plants in silk	1,693 to 1,707 heat units from emergence to 50% plants in silk
1,440 heat units from emergence to 50% plants in pollen	1,662 to 1,678 heat units from emergence to 50% plants in pollen
84-85 inches = plant height	74-75 inches = plant height
52.2 cm = tassel length	46.8 = tassel length
Anther color is red	Anther color is pink
Glume color is light green	Glume color is green
Silk color is pink	Silk color is green yellow
Fresh husk color is dark green	Fresh husk color is green
17 cm = ear length	12.4 cm = ear length

SN:09/758,858

The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner. Applicant submits that though PH6WR and F361 exhibit some similar physiological and morphological traits, PH6WR is clearly differentiated from F361. One would not be able to obtain PH6WR through modification of the maize inbred taught by Morgan because PH6WR comprises a unique and nonobvious combination of genetics. Further, plants derived from PH6WR are also clearly differentiated, and are themselves a unique and nonobvious combination of genetics derived from PH6WR. Thus, they deserve to be considered new and nonobvious compositions in their own right.

In light of the above, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection to claims 1-49 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Cancellation of claims 33, 45, and 46 and amendment of claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 14, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 35, 37, 40, 41, 42, 43, 48, and 49 does not in any way change the claim scope which the Applicant believes is allowable but is meant to hasten the issuance of the patent.

#### CONCLUSION

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by current amendment. The attached page is captioned "**VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**".

Applicant submits that in light of the foregoing amendments and the remarks, the claims 1-32, 34-44, and 47-49 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and early notice of allowability is respectfully requested. If it is felt that it would aid in prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number indicated to discuss any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,  
Mark David Hoffbeck



Steven Callistein  
Reg. No. 43,525  
Attorney for Applicant

Steven Callistein  
Pioneer Hi-Bred International  
7100 NW 62<sup>nd</sup> Avenue  
P.O. Box 1000  
Johnston, IA 50131-1000  
(515)-254-2823

08/12/02 MON 14:48 FAX 515 334 6883

PIONEER HI-BRED DSM

012

SN:09/758,858

(515) 334-6883 FAX

SN:09/758,858

**VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE****In the specification**

On page 44, lines 2-21 have been deleted and the clean paragraph as written was inserted.

**In the claims**

Claims 33, 45, and 46 were deleted.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 14, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 35, 37, 40, 41, 42, 43, 48, and 49 were amended as follows:

1. (Amended) Seed of maize inbred line designated PH6WR, representative seed of said line having been deposited under ATCC Accession No. [ \_\_\_\_\_ ] PTA-4436.
3. (Amended) The maize plant of claim 2 [, wherein said plant is male sterile] further comprising a genetic factor conferring male sterility.
5. (Amended) A tissue culture according to claim 4, [the] cells or protoplasts of the tissue culture being from a tissue selected from the group consisting of leaves, pollen, embryos, roots, root tips, anthers, silks, flowers, kernels, ears, cobs, husks, and stalks.
6. (Amended) A maize plant regenerated from the tissue culture of claim 4, capable of expressing all the morphological and physiological characteristics of inbred line PH6WR, representative seed of which have been deposited under ATCC Accession No. [ \_\_\_\_\_ ] PTA-4436.
14. (Amended) [A] An inbred maize plant, or parts thereof, wherein [at least one ancestor of said maize plant is] said inbred maize plant was developed by a cross of the maize plant of claim 2[, said maize plant expressing a combination of at least two PH6WR traits selected from the group consisting of: a relative maturity of approximately 111 based on the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System for harvest moisture of grain, high yields, below average barrenness, very good stand establishment, above average early growth, below average plant height, very good resistance to brittle stalk, above average late season stalk strength, adapted to the Central Corn Belt and the Western regions of the United States] with a second maize plant, growing a progeny

SN:09758,858

seed obtained from said cross, and repeating the steps of selfing and growing each subsequent generation to obtain said inbred maize plant.

16. (Amended) The [maize plant breeding program] method of claim 15 wherein plant breeding techniques are selected from the group consisting of: recurrent selection, backcrossing, pedigree breeding, restriction fragment length polymorphism enhanced selection, genetic marker enhanced selection, and transformation.

19. (Amended) The single gene [conversion(s)] conversion maize plant of claim 18, wherein the gene is a dominant allele.

20. (Amended) The single gene [conversion(s)] conversion maize plant of claim 18, wherein the gene is a recessive allele.

21. (Amended) A maize plant, or parts thereof, having all the physiological and morphological characteristics of inbred line PH6WR, representative seed of said line having been deposited under ATCC accession No. [ \_\_\_\_\_ ] PTA - 4436.

22. (Amended) The maize plant of claim 21 [, wherein said plant is male sterile] further comprising a genetic factor conferring male sterility.

24. (Amended) A tissue culture according to claim 23, [the] cells or protoplasts of the tissue culture being from a tissue selected from the group consisting of leaves, pollen, embryos, roots, root tips, anthers, silks, flowers, kernels, ears, cobs, husks, and stalks.

25. (Amended) A maize plant regenerated from the tissue culture of claim 23, capable of expressing all the morphological and physiological characteristics of inbred line PH6WR, representative seed of which have been deposited under ATCC Accession No. [ \_\_\_\_\_ ] PTA - 4436.

35. (Amended) The [maize plant breeding program] method of claim 34 wherein plant breeding techniques are selected from the group consisting of: recurrent selection, backcrossing, pedigree breeding, restriction fragment length polymorphism enhanced selection, genetic marker enhanced selection, and transformation.

SN:09758,858

37. (Amended) A process for producing inbred PH6WR, representative seed of which have been deposited under ATCC Accession No. [ \_\_\_\_\_ ] PTA - 4436, comprising:

- (a) planting a collection of seed comprising seed of a hybrid, one of whose parents is inbred PH6WR said collection also comprising seed of said inbred;
- (b) growing plants from said collection of seed;
- (c) identifying said inbred PH6WR plants;
- (d) selecting said inbred PH6WR plant; and
- (e) controlling pollination in a manner which preserves the homozygosity of said inbred PH6WR plant.

40. (Amended) A method for producing a PH6WR-derived maize plant, comprising:

- (a) crossing inbred maize line PH6WR, representative seed of said line having been deposited under ATCC Accession No. [ \_\_\_\_\_ ] PTA - 4436, with a second maize plant to yield progeny maize seed;
- (b) growing said progeny maize seed, under plant growth conditions, to yield said PH6WR-derived maize plant.

41. (Amended) A PH6WR-derived maize plant, or parts thereof, produced by the method of claim 40 [ , said PH6WR-derived maize plant expressing a combination of at least two PH6WR traits selected from the group consisting of : a relative maturity of approximately 111 based on the Comparative Relative Maturity Rating System for harvest moisture of grain, high yields, below average barrenness, very good stand establishment, above average early growth, below average plant height, very good resistance to brittle stalk, above average late season stalk strength, adapted to the Central Corn Belt and the Western regions of the United States].

42. (Amended) The method of claim 40, further comprising:

- (c) crossing said PH6WR-derived maize plant with itself [or another maize plant] to yield additional PH6WR-derived progeny maize seed;
- (d) growing said progeny maize seed of step (c) under plant growth conditions, to yield additional PH6WR-derived maize plants;

SN:09758,858

(e) repeating the crossing and growing steps of (c) and (d) [from 0 to 5 times] to generate further PH6WR-derived maize plants.

43. (Amended) [A] The further [derived maize plant] PH6WR-derived maize plants, or parts thereof, produced by the method of claim 42.

48. (Amended) The single gene [conversion(s)] conversion maize plant of claim 47, wherein the gene is a dominant allele.

49. (Amended) The single gene [conversion(s)] conversion maize plant of claim 47, wherein the gene is a recessive allele.